Keller Lenkner

The Lanier Law Firm

March 29, 2022

VIA ECF

The Honorable P. Kevin Castel United States District Judge Daniel Patrick Moynihan United States Courthouse 500 Pearl St. New York, New York 10007

Re: In re Google Digital Advertising, 1:21-md-03010 (PKC); State of Texas, et al. v. Google LLC, No. 1:21-cv-06841 (PKC)

Dear Judge Castel:

We write in response to Google's letter dated March 28, 2022 regarding the Publisher Plaintiffs' request to file a brief, ECF 268, and pursuant to the Court's Individual Practices § 3F, to request oral argument on Google's Motion to Dismiss the Plaintiff States' Third Amended Complaint. ECF 217. No conferences are currently scheduled before the Court.

The Plaintiff States take no position on whether the Court should grant the Publisher Plaintiffs' request. If that request is granted, however, the Plaintiff States oppose Google's requested extension of time and increase in the page limitation for its Reply to the Plaintiff States' Opposition. As Google's letter notes, the briefing schedule for Google's Motion, the Plaintiff States' Opposition, and Google's Reply was set five months ago. See ECF 144. That schedule provides Google with ample time and pages for its Reply: more than four times the number of days than are provided by the Local Rules, see Local Rule 6.1(a), and twice the number of pages than are provided in the Court's Individual Practices. See Individual Practices § 3D. The Publisher Plaintiffs' request, filed just two days after the Plaintiff States' Opposition, is not a basis for the significant extension of time or increase in pages that Google has proposed. Indeed, the only party attempting an end-run around the Court's page limitations and briefing schedule is Google, as the Plaintiff States did not file, invite, or approve the Publisher Plaintiffs' requested brief. The Plaintiff States take no position on whether, if the request is granted, Google should be permitted to separately respond to the Publisher Plaintiffs' brief.

Additionally, the Plaintiff States request that oral argument be scheduled on Google's Motion to Dismiss. Under the Court's current briefing schedule, Google's Motion will be fully briefed on April 21, 2022. Counsel for the Plaintiff States has proposed to counsel for Google that oral argument be scheduled for May 24, 25, or 26, 2022 and are awaiting word on Google's availability during that window. Counsel for the Plaintiff States will work with the Court and counsel for Google to identify an acceptable date for oral argument.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ W. Mark Lanier

W. Mark Lanier

New York Bar No.: 4327284 Mark.Lanier@LanierLawFirm.com

Alex J. Brown

New York Bar No.: 4593604 Alex.Brown@LanierLawFirm.com

Zeke DeRose III

Zeke.DeRose@LanierLawFirm.com

Tower 56

126 East 56th Street, 6th Floor

New York, NY 10022 (212) 421-2800

THE LANIER LAW FIRM, PLLC

/s/ Ashley Keller

Ashley Keller

ack@kellerlenkner.com Brooke Clason Smith

brooke.smith@kellerlenkner.com

Jason A. Zweig

New York Bar No.: 2960326

jaz@kellerlenkner.com

150 N. Riverside Plaza, Suite 4270

Chicago, Illinois 60606

(312) 741-5220

Zina Bash

zina.bash@kellerlenker.com

111 Congress Avenue, Suite 500

Austin, TX 78701 (512) 690-0990

KELLER LENKNER LLC

Counsel for Texas, Idaho, Indiana, Louisiana (The Lanier Law Firm only), Mississippi, North Dakota, South Carolina, and South Dakota

CC: Counsel of Record